







Investigation of a Private Market Affordable Rental Housing Delivery Model -

for Use in Negotiating Voluntary Planning Agreements

ALGA National General Assembly of Local Government 2019

Presented by

Gary Spivak Housing Development Officer City of Port Phillip

Inner Melbourne Action Plan Making Melbourne More Liveable













Inner Melbourne Action Plan Making Melbourne More Liveable











What is the Inner Melbourne Action Plan (IMAP)?

- A collaborative partnership between the Inner Melbourne Region's Cities of Melbourne, Port Phillip, Stonnington, Yarra and Maribyrnong.
- Purpose: to strengthen the liveability, attraction and prosperity of the region through a range of strategies that enable joint initiatives/projects.
- One strategy is 'Supporting residential growth and housing choice'.
- City of Port Phillip has led three IMAP projects:
 - **Inclusionary Zoning** investigation of a proposed IZ scheme for the Inner Melbourne Region (SGS Economics & Planning 2004 - 2010)
 - **Community Land Trusts** development of a guide to establishing CLTs in Australia (The University of Western Sydney and other partners (two publications: 2013, 2019)
 - **This project** investigation of a Private Market Affordable Rental Housing Delivery Model (PWC, 2019)

Why IMAP is interested in investigating the PRADS model

- The housing affordability problem has broadened and deepened over the last 10 20 years in the Inner Melbourne Region.
- This has resulted in those affected by housing affordability increasing from the lower 50 - 60% of the income spectrum in 1995 to the lower 70% of the income spectrum now.
- Many households are now locked out of home ownership and forced to remain permanently in private rental housing - in growing housing stress.
- This means there is a greater need for affordable housing products across the spectrum of need, in particular targeting moderate income households and key workers, e.g.:
 - Rent to Buy
 - Shared Equity Housing
 - Community Land Trusts
 - NRAS (continuation of)
 - Affordable Build to Rent (the purpose of this project)

Why IMAP is interested in investigating the PRADS model

Without a greater level of such private affordable housing products, social and economic problems will increase:

- Greater social polarisation between social housing (very low and low income) and market rate private housing (upper moderate - high income)
- No / limited housing choice for moderate income households / key workers, and households moving up or down the housing spectrum as their circumstances / life cycles change - creating bottlenecks in the housing system
- Economic inefficiencies for regions that have unaffordable housing, and rely on key workers (and low income wage earners), who need to travel long distances to work.
- Increasing demand for social housing from households unable to sustain private rents and move into housing poverty

DEPTH OF SUBSIDY

TYPOLOGY	Social (public and community) housing and rooming houses					Transitional / hybrid	Alternative / shared equity		Subsidised private rental	Private rental	Home ownership			
HOUSING PRODUCT	Crisis and transitional housing	Public housing	Community rooming houses and low income community housing (rent @ 25% of income)	Private rooming houses (including premises targeting students)	Community housing (rent @ 30% of income, up to 75% of market rent)	Rent-to-buy schemes	Community Land Trusts (yet to be established in Australia)	Shared equity housing	NRAS subsidised housing and private affordable housing (emerging)	Private rental housing	Modular, timber frame and adaptable housing	Student housing, reduced size and shell apartments	Co-housing	Standard market housing products
PROVIDERS	Charities, community housing organisations	State housing authorities	Community housing organisations (registered in Victoria as housing providers and housing associations)	Private landlords and investors	Community housing organisations (registered in Victoria as housing associations)	State housing authorities (with tenant purchasers)	Groups of residents, community housing organisations or CLT organisations	State housing authorities (with tenant purchasers)	Private developers (can include community housing organisations)	Investors	Private developers, community housing organisations)	Private developers	Groups of private purchasers	Private developers and home owners
Emerging gap between need of singles at greatest Opportunity for increased housing products to create a continuum between social risk of homelessness and community housing supply. housing and market rate private housing.														
GMENT		VERY LOW INCOME LOW INCOME (lowest 30% of the income range) (31-50% of the income range)				MODERATE INCOME (51-70% of the income range)				HIGH INCOME (top 71-100% of the income range)				

DEPTH OF INCOME

Why IMAP is interested in investigating the PRADS model

It is imperative that the private sector be engaged to help deliver affordable housing as:

- The social housing system is unable and unsuitable to address the scale of the need
- There are no mandatory planning mechanisms in Victoria (eg. Inclusionary Zoning) requiring the private sector to contribute to the delivery of affordable housing, with limited exceptions being:
 - City of Melbourne Amendment C270 Built Form Controls
 - Fishermans Bend Social Housing Uplift incentive
 - Site-specific planning controls, eg. Development Plan Overlays
- The ability of voluntary planning agreements in Victoria to deliver affordable and social housing has been enhanced by the Victorian Government, under *Plan Melbourne*, and *Homes for Victorians* policy:
 - Defining social and affordable housing (and associated very low, low and moderate income ranges)
 - Amending the Victorian Planning Provisions
 - Development of model s173 Agreements for affordable housing
 - Guidelines for use of s 173 Agreements for social and affordable housing

What is the IMAP project?

Available planning mechanisms are voluntary, so it is necessary that a new model is developed that can operate along side / in tandem with a range of other programs, subsidies and mechanisms. The base PRADS model was of considerable interest as it was potentially capable of:

- Being attractive to private developers with a range of financial and planning incentives to leverage the number of affordable dwellings delivered
- 2. Achieving scale a large scale of privately delivered affordable housing, at a faster rate than conventional options:
 - Gifting of apartments to registered community housing agencies
 - Discounted sale developers seeking to sell a % of apartments at discounted prices to registered community housing agencies
- 3. Providing long-term affordability and avoiding the problems experienced with expiring US negotiated regulatory agreements
- **4. Affordability** safeguarding the affordability of the housing through a range of governance arrangements, to avoid default and enforcement

What is the IMAP project?

The project investigation is being undertaken by PWC on a pro-bono basis. It will:

- Provide modelling that determines the trade-off between 1. the discounted rent (eg 20% - 50%) and 2. the number of affordable housing dwellings provided (with clear options for local government)
- Identify the affordable housing products and opportunities most applicable to the model, e.g.
 - Build to Rent that is affordable
 - Volume leasing of vacant apartments held by developers
 - Private development in Fishermans Bend urban renewal area
 - Private development in other parts of the region
- Define the minimum necessary development margin (relative to risk) to achieve development viability under hypothetical development scenarios
- Identify the incentives necessary to bridge the gap between market and discounted rent, and therefore encourage greater private sector take-up of voluntary planning agreements

What is the IMAP project?

The expanded range of options for incentives include:

- The value attributed to the market perception of private property management
- Fast tracking of development approval, and the potential for such developments to become Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) priority cases under the Major Projects List
- Financial structures that may provide investors with tax offsets, eg. a Unit Trust
- Investigation of any tax arrangements for investors that could be sought, based on existing precedent or potentially relevant concessions
- Any Commonwealth and State programs that could provide subsidies or finance, subject to the model becoming eligible, eg. Bond Aggregator
- Reimbursement of local government rates (all or part)
- Reduction of Owners Corporation fees payable by investors of affordable rental apartments

Project process

- The project will conclude in September with a presentation of the report to IMAP
- If adopted, it will be up to each IMAP Council to determine how it wishes to
 use the model in negotiations, eg. target development types, the package of
 incentives, how they trade-off the level of discounted rent and number of
 units
- The report and modelling will be placed in the public domain to share with any interested party.

